

.....
(Original Signature of Member)

115TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R.

To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Ms. GABBARD introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Marijuana Data Col-
5 lection Act”.

1 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

2 Congress finds the following:

3 (1) Nearly two-thirds of Americans—about 64
4 percent—favor marijuana legalization.

5 (2) A total of 31 States, the District of Colum-
6 bia, Puerto Rico, and Guam have legalized mari-
7 juana for medicinal use, and of those, eight States
8 and the District of Columbia have legalized mari-
9 juana for adult non-medicinal use.

10 (3) Despite State legalization, marijuana re-
11 mains illegal under Federal law, listed in schedule I
12 under the Controlled Substances Act.

13 (4) Every day, more Americans die from over-
14 dosing on opioids. In 2016, the Centers for Disease
15 Control and Prevention estimated that more than
16 42,000 Americans died from opioid-related drug
17 overdoses. President Trump has, on two separate oc-
18 casions, declared the opioid crisis as a public health
19 emergency.

20 (5) Studies suggest that increased access to
21 marijuana is associated with reductions in opioid
22 abuse and opioid-related deaths, among other eco-
23 nomic and social benefits:

24 (A) A study published in the Journal of
25 the American Medical Association (JAMA) in
26 2014 that compared mortality rates between

1 States that legalized medical marijuana versus
2 States that have not legalized medical mari-
3 juana found that States that had legalized med-
4 ical marijuana had, on average, 20 percent
5 fewer opioid-related overdose deaths in the first
6 year of legalization compared to States that had
7 not legalized marijuana. This difference wid-
8 ened in subsequent years after legalization.

9 (B) A study published in the American
10 Journal of Public Health in 2017 found that
11 opioid-related deaths tended to decline after the
12 legalization of non-medicinal marijuana for
13 adults in the State of Colorado. This study esti-
14 mated a 6.5 percent reduction in opioid-related
15 deaths compared to pre-legalization.

16 (6) Due to marijuana legalization, States have
17 generated millions in taxes and revenue and have al-
18 located these funds into public health, education,
19 economic development, restorative justice, and job
20 creation, such as—

21 (A) substance use disorder treatment and
22 drug use prevention programs;

23 (B) school construction;

24 (C) behavioral health programs;

- 1 (D) State alcohol and drug treatments
2 funds;
3 (E) basic health plans;
4 (F) community residential centers;
5 (G) youth drug use prevention;
6 (H) jail diversion;
7 (I) mental health treatment; and
8 (J) job creation and placement.

9 (7) A robust and properly regulated marijuana
10 industry wherein States are allowed to operate mari-
11 juana programs free from Federal interference
12 stands to benefit States' public health, education,
13 economic, and law enforcement and judicial sectors.

14 **SEC. 3. REPORT CONCERNING THE EFFECTS OF STATE LE-**
15 **GALIZED MARIJUANA PROGRAMS.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and
17 Human Services, in coordination with the Department of
18 Justice, the Department of Labor, and (to the greatest
19 extent possible) with relevant State agencies responsible
20 for health programs and activities in States that have le-
21 galized marijuana for medicinal or non-medicinal use,
22 shall enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National
23 Academy of Sciences—

24 (1) to complete a study, not later than 18
25 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and

1 to update such study on a biennial basis thereafter
2 for the duration of the arrangement period, on the
3 effects of State legalized marijuana programs on the
4 economy, public health, criminal justice, and employ-
5 ment in the respective States;

6 (2) upon the completion of the initial study pur-
7 suant to paragraph (1) and upon each update to the
8 study, to prepare or update a report on the results
9 of such study and submit such report to the Con-
10 gress; and

11 (3) not later than 30 days after the date of sub-
12 mission of the initial report under paragraph (2),
13 develop and publish best practices on data collection
14 under subsection (e).

15 (b) STUDY CONSIDERATIONS.—The study pursuant
16 to subsection (a)(1) shall consider the effects of State le-
17 galized marijuana programs, including yearly rates and
18 trends over the course of the study under such subsection,
19 with respect to the following:

20 (1) REVENUES AND STATE ALLOCATIONS.—

21 (A) The monetary amounts generated
22 through revenues, taxes, and any other financial
23 benefits.

24 (B) The purposes and relative amounts for
25 which these funds were used.

1 (C) The total impact on the State and its
2 budget.

3 (2) MEDICINAL USE OF MARIJUANA.—

4 (A) The rates of medicinal use among dif-
5 ferent population groups, including children, the
6 elderly, veterans, and individuals with disabil-
7 ities.

8 (B) The purpose of such use.

9 (C) Which medical conditions medical
10 marijuana is most frequently purchased and
11 used for.

12 (3) SUBSTANCE USE.—

13 (A) The rates of overdoses with opioids
14 and other painkillers.

15 (B) The rates of admission in health care
16 facilities, emergency rooms, and volunteer treat-
17 ment facilities related to overdoses with opioids
18 and other painkillers.

19 (C) The rates of opioid-related and other
20 painkiller-related crimes to one's self and to the
21 community.

22 (D) The rates of opioid prescriptions and
23 other pain killers.

24 (4) IMPACTS ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE.—

1 (A) The rates of marijuana-related arrests
2 for possession, cultivation, and distribution, and
3 of these arrests, the percentages that involved a
4 secondary charge unrelated to marijuana pos-
5 session, cultivation, or distribution, including—

6 (i) the rates of such arrests on the
7 Federal level, including the number of
8 Federal prisoners so arrested,
9 disaggregated by sex, age, race, and eth-
10 nicity of the prisoners; and

11 (ii) the rates of such arrests on the
12 State level, including the number of State
13 prisoners so arrested, disaggregated by
14 sex, age, race, and ethnicity.

15 (B) The rates of arrests and citations on
16 the Federal and State levels related to teenage
17 use of marijuana.

18 (C) The rates of arrests on the Federal
19 and State levels for unlawful driving under the
20 influence of a substance, and the rates of such
21 arrests involving marijuana.

22 (D) The rates of marijuana-related pros-
23 ecutions, court filings, and imprisonments.

24 (E) The total monetary amounts expended
25 for marijuana-related enforcement, arrests,

1 court filings and proceedings, and imprisonment
2 before and after legalization, including Federal
3 expenditures disaggregated according to wheth-
4 er the laws being enforced were Federal or
5 State.

6 (F) The total number and rate of defend-
7 ants in Federal criminal prosecutions asserting
8 as a defense that their conduct was in compli-
9 ance with applicable State law legalizing mari-
10 juana usage, and the effects of such assertions.

11 (5) EMPLOYMENT.—

12 (A) The amount of jobs created in each
13 State, differentiating between direct and indi-
14 rect employment.

15 (B) The amount of jobs expected to be cre-
16 ated in the next 5 years, and in the next 10
17 years, as a result of the State's marijuana in-
18 dustry.

19 (c) STUDY TIMEFRAME.—The study pursuant to sub-
20 section (a)(1) shall consider the data collected and ana-
21 lyzed in connection with the items listed in subsection (b)
22 in the respective States to the extent possible across the
23 period—

24 (1) beginning 5 years before the effective date
25 of legalization of marijuana in the State; and

1 (2) ending on a date determined by the Na-
2 tional Academy of Sciences to allow collection and
3 analysis of the most recent data available.

4 (d) REPORT CONTENTS.—Reports pursuant to sub-
5 section (a)(2) shall—

6 (1) address both State programs that have le-
7 galized marijuana for medicinal use and those that
8 have legalized marijuana for adult non-medicinal use
9 and to the extent practicable distinguish between
10 such programs and their effects;

11 (2) include a national assessment of average
12 trends across States with such programs in relation
13 to the effects on economy, public health, criminal
14 justice, and employment in the respective States, in-
15 cluding with respect to the items listed in subsection
16 (b); and

17 (3) describe—

18 (A) any barriers that impeded the ability
19 to complete or update aspects of the study re-
20 quired by subsection (a)(1) and how such bar-
21 riers can be overcome for purposes of future
22 studies; and

23 (B) any gaps in the data sought for the
24 study required by subsection (a)(1) and how

1 these gaps can be eliminated or otherwise ad-
2 dressed for purposes of future studies.

3 (e) BEST PRACTICES FOR DATA COLLECTION BY
4 STATES.—The best practices pursuant to subsection
5 (a)(3) shall consist of best practices for the collection by
6 States of the information described in the items listed in
7 subsection (b), including such best practices for improv-
8 ing—

- 9 (1) data collection;
- 10 (2) analytical capacity;
- 11 (3) research integrity; and
- 12 (4) the comparability of data across States.